Preprint typeset in JHEP style - HYPER VERSION LU-TP 14-11

arXiv:1403.6262v1 [hep-th] 25 Mar 2014

Note on symmetric BCJ numerator

Chih-Hao Fu [a]*, **Yi-Jian Du** [b,c], **Bo Feng** [d,e]

[a] *Department of Electrophysics, National Chiao Tung University 1001 University Road, Hsinchu, Taiwan, R.O.C.*

[b] *Department of Astronomy and Theoretical Physics, Lund University SE-22362 Lund, Sweden*

[c] *Department of Physics and Center for Field Theory and Particle Physics, Fudan University, 220 Handan Road, Shanghai 200433, P.R China*

[d] *Zhejiang Institute of Modern Physics, Zhejiang University, 38 Zheda Road Hangzhou, 310027 P.R China*

[e] *Center of Mathematical Science, Zhejiang University, 38 Zheda Road Hangzhou, 310027 P.R China*

yjdu@fudan.edu.cn; b.feng@cms.zju.edu.cn; zhihaofu@nctu.edu.tw

Abstract: We present an algorithm that leads to BCJ numerators satisfying manifestly the three properties proposed by Broedel and Carrasco in [35]. We explicitly calculate the numerators at 4, 5 and 6-points and show that the relabeling property is generically satisfied.

Keywords: Duality, Symmetry.

*The unusual ordering of authors in this paper is just to fit outdated requirement of Chinese University for recognition of contributions.

Contents

1. Introduction **1**

2. General framework **2**

3. Four-point construction **4**

4. The 5-point case **5**

5. Verifying symmetry properties of the numerators **6**

5.1 Permutation (12) 6

5.2 Permutation $((n-1)n)$ 7

6. Conclusion **7**

A. 6-point numerator **8**

1. Introduction

In an inspiring paper [1], Bern, Carrasco and Johansson (BCJ) have made a remarkable observation that the Yang-Mills scattering amplitude can be rearranged into a symmetrical form where its kinematic dependent numerators satisfy the same algebraic identities as the color factors. This duality between color and kinematic factors was later found to be present in a variety of Yang-Mills theories [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10] and, perhaps most surprisingly, was shown to be valid at least for the first few loop levels [11, 12, 13, 3, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 19]. The apparently symmetrical structure also suggests mirror versions of the existing formulations. In particular that studies of the BCJ duals of the original color-ordered and the Del Duca-Dixon-Maltoni formulations [20] can be found in [21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26].

Ever since the discovery of the duality, a considerable amount of endeavor has been devoted to the systematic construction of the kinematic numerators. An explicit construction was given by Mafra, Schlotterer and Stieberger using the pure spinor language[27]. Alternatively, it was shown that the kinematic factors can be interpreted in terms of diffeomorphism algebra [28, 29, 23, 30]. In a series of recent papers [31, 32, 33] another interesting construction was provided by Cachazo, He and Yuan (CHY) using the solutions to the scattering equations. At the moment of writing it is not yet clear how to construct kinematic numerators for the most generic configuration and to arbitrary loop order.

Instead of attempting to decipher the analytic structure responsible for the possible algebraic behavior, another line of thoughts is to solve the kinematic numerators reversely in terms of scattering amplitudes, and indeed, it was discussed in [29, 34] that such expression for the numerators can always be derived in suitable basis. A technical issue lies with this approach is that because of the complexity involved, along with the ambiguity introduced by generalized gauge invariance, it is practically difficult to write down an analytic expression for generic numerator. Nevertheless at tree level, explicit numerators were worked out by Broedel and Carrasco [35] at 4 and 5-points, and 6-points in the case of four dimensions, which satisfy the following three properties:

1. The numerators satisfy Jacobi identity, and this property is referred as *BCJ representation*.

2. All external state information such as particle species and helicity is coded inside the color-ordered partial amplitudes. In other words, we have $n_i = \sum_\alpha c_{i\alpha} A_\alpha$, where $c_{i\alpha}$ is helicity blind. This property is called *amplitude-encoded representation*.

3. Expressions for numerators sharing the same topology are relabeling related. In other words, for each topology, if we know the BCJ numerator for a particular ordering of external legs, we know all others simply through relabelings. This property is called *symmetric representation*.

In this paper we present a systematic construction of the kinematic numerators at generic n-points via Kawai-Lewellen-Tye (KLT) relation [36, 37], which satisfy the above three properties of Broedel and Carrasco. As examples we derive the explicit formulas for 4, 5 and 6 points. In particular that the 6-point expression here does not assume spinor identities.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we discuss the basic idea used to determine the kinematic numerators. In sections 3 and 4 we present explicit expressions for numerators at 4 and 5-points. Due to complexity we present the 6-point result in appendix A. We show that the numerators produced by the algorithm discussed in this paper satisfy all three properties of Broedel and Carrasco in section 5. Finally, a conclusion is given in section 6.

2. General framework

Our starting point is the KLT expression of the total Yang-Mills amplitude [36, 38, 37, 39],

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{A}_n^{KLT}(1,2,...,n-1,n) = \mathcal{A}_n^{KLT}(1,\{2,...,n-2\},n-1,n) \\
&= (-)^{n+1} \sum_{\sigma,\widetilde{\sigma}\in S_{n-3}} A_n(1,\sigma(2,n-2),n-1,n)\mathcal{S}[\widetilde{\sigma}(2,n-2))|\sigma(2,n-2))]_{p_1} \widetilde{A}_n(n-1,n,\widetilde{\sigma}(2,n-2),1) \\
&= (-)^{n+1} \sum_{\sigma,\widetilde{\sigma}\in S_{n-3}} \widetilde{A}_n(1,n-1,n,\widetilde{\sigma}(2,n-2))\mathcal{S}[\widetilde{\sigma}(2,n-2))|\sigma(2,n-2))]_{p_1} A_n(1,\sigma(2,n-2),n-1,n)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

[KLT-Pure-S]

where A_n is the color ordered partial amplitude of Yang-Mills theory and $\widetilde{A}_n$ is the color ordered partial amplitude of scalar theory appearing in the dual DDM-form. Notice that although the total amplitude is

totally symmetric under permutations of all n legs, the expressions in the second and the third lines are not manifestly so. In particular that legs $1, n-1, n$ are kept fixed, while the ordering of the rest $(n-3)$ legs appear in $\mathcal{A}_n^{KLT}(1,2,...,n-1,n)$ has no effect on the expression. To emphasize this feature, we write the parameter of amplitude $\mathcal{A}_n^{KLT}$ as $(1,\{2,...,n-2\},n-1,n)$. The momentum kernel $\mathcal{S}$ appears in (2.1) is defined as [38, 39]

$$\mathcal{S}[i_1,...,i_k|j_1,j_2,...,j_k]_{p_1} = \prod_{t=1}^{k}(s_{i_t 1} + \sum_{q>t}^{k}\theta(i_t,i_q)s_{i_t i_q}) \quad \text{[S-def]} \tag{2.2}$$

where $\theta(i_t,i_q)$ is zero when pair (i_t,i_q) has same ordering at both set $\mathcal{I}=\{i_1,...,i_k\}, \mathcal{J}=\{j_1,j_2,...,j_k\}$, otherwise it is one. A few examples are the following:

$$\mathcal{S}[2,3,4|2,4,3] = s_{21}(s_{31}+s_{34})s_{41}, \quad \mathcal{S}[2,3,4|4,3,2] = (s_{21}+s_{23}+s_{24})(s_{31}+s_{34})s_{41}.$$

In this definition momentum p_1 plays a distinct role, in the sense that for each leg i there is always one term s_{i1}. In the case when different choices of p_1 are encountered, we should write $\mathcal{S}[\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{J}]_{p_1}$ to avoid confusions.

Since our goal is to obtain a *symmetric representation* for BCJ numerators, as a second step we symmetrize expression (2.1)[1],

$$\mathcal{A}_n^S = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\mathcal{A}_n^{KLT}(\sigma_1,\{\sigma_2,...,\sigma_{n-2}\},\sigma_{n-1},\sigma_n) \quad \text{[M-total-n]} \tag{2.3}$$

However note that since KLT expression is already manifestly $(n-3)!$-symmetric, equation (2.3) reduces to averaging over n-choices for a_1, then $(n-1)$-choices for a_{n-1} and $(n-2)$-choices for a_n,

$$\mathcal{A}_n^S = \frac{1}{n(n-1)(n-2)}\sum_{i\neq j\neq k,1}^{n}\mathcal{A}_n^{KLT}(i,\{1,2,..,\widehat{i},...,\widehat{j},..,\widehat{k},...,n\},j,k) \quad \text{[M-total-n-1]} \tag{2.4}$$

As a third step, we expand $\widetilde{A}_n$ using KK-basis, with , for example, 1, n fixed at the first and the last position. Thus $\mathcal{A}_n^S$ becomes

$$\mathcal{A}_n^S = \sum_{\sigma\in S_{n-2}}\widetilde{n}_{1\sigma(2,...,n-1)n}\widetilde{A}_n(1,\sigma(2,...,n-1),n), \quad \text{[S-rep]} \tag{2.5}$$

where the $\widetilde{n}_{1\sigma(2,...,n-1)n}$ here is a collective factor of color-ordered amplitude A_n and kinematic factors. Comparing with the dual DDM-form [11] of the total Yang-Mills amplitude,

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{\sigma\in S_{n-2}} n_{1\sigma n}\widetilde{A}(1,\sigma,n), \quad \text{[dual-DDM-form]} \tag{2.6}$$

we propose that the desired BCJ numerator n_α^S satisfying the three properties of [35] to be

$$n^s_{1\sigma(2,...,n-1)n} \equiv \widetilde{n}_{1\sigma(2,...,n-1)n}. \quad \text{[ns-def]} \tag{2.7}$$

To summarize, the basic idea is as follows:

[1]Consider the average of KLT relations was firstly suggested in the work [40]. However, the numerator suggested in [40] by taking $(n-2)!$ cannot satisfy the relabeling property.

- We construct only the KK-basis of BCJ numerator n_i in (2.6). The rest numeators are given by Jacobi identity. By this construction, the expression is automatically BCJ representation.

- We consider constructing the numerators using KLT relation, where the helicity information is automatically coded inside the partial amplitude.

- The $\mathcal{A}_n^S$ (2.3) is averaged over all permutations of n external legs, thus the relabeling property is manifestly constructed.

3. Four-point construction

Having discussed the general framework, let us present a few examples explicitly. We start with averaging KLT relation over all 4! permutations,

$$\begin{aligned} M(1,2,3,4) &= \frac{1}{4!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_4} M(\sigma) \\ &= \frac{-1}{24}\Big(A(1,3,4,2)s_{12}\tilde{A}(1,2,3,4) + A(1,4,3,2)s_{12}\tilde{A}(1,2,4,3) \\ &\quad + \cdots + A(1,3,2,4)s_{14}\tilde{A}(1,4,3,2)\Big)\,. \quad \text{[Average-tot-amp]} \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Translating all $\tilde{A}$ into KK-basis, and comparing equation (3.1) with its dual DDM-form expression

$$M(1,2,3,4) = n_{1234}\widetilde{A}(1,2,3,4) + n_{1324}\widetilde{A}(1,3,2,4), \tag{3.2}$$

we see that numerator n_{1234} can be read off from the coefficient of $\widetilde{A}(1,2,3,4)$ in the symmetrized KLT expression (3.1), giving

$$\begin{aligned} n_{1234} = \frac{1}{24}\,&(s_{12}A(2,3,4,1) - s_{12}A(2,4,3,1) + s_{13}A(3,2,4,1) - s_{14}A(4,2,3,1) - s_{21}A(1,3,4,2) \\ &+s_{21}A(1,4,3,2) - s_{23}A(3,1,4,2) + s_{24}A(4,1,3,2) + s_{31}A(1,4,2,3) - s_{32}A(2,4,1,3) \\ &+s_{34}A(4,1,2,3) - s_{34}A(4,2,1,3) - s_{41}A(1,3,2,4) + s_{42}A(2,3,1,4) - s_{43}A(3,1,2,4) \\ &+s_{43}A(3,2,1,4)) \quad \text{[4pt-expression]} \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

The expression above can be further translated into BCJ basis,

$$n_{1234} = \frac{1}{3}[s_{12}A(1,2,3,4) - s_{23}A(1,3,2,4)] = \frac{s_{12}(s_{13}-s_{23})}{3s_{13}}A(1,2,3,4). \quad \text{[4-pt-n-BCJbasis]} \tag{3.4}$$

which is simply the four-point result obtained by Broedel and Carrasco in [35]. The other numerator n_{1324}, obtained by collecting the coefficient of $\widetilde{A}(1,3,2,4)$, gives the same expression as (3.3) with legs $(2,3)$ swapped.

4. The 5-point case

The calculation at 5-points follows in a straightforward manner. Here we list the result for n_{12345} in KK-basis.

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{12345} = & \frac{1}{10}A(1,2,3,4,5)(s_{12}(s_{13}+s_{23})+s_{45}(s_{34}+s_{35}))+\frac{1}{10}A(1,2,4,3,5)(-s_{12}s_{34}+s_{35}s_{45}) \\
& +\frac{1}{10}A(1,3,2,4,5)(s_{12}s_{13}-s_{45}s_{23}) \\
& +\frac{1}{60}A(1,3,4,2,5)(s_{23}(s_{24}-s_{25})+s_{12}(3s_{13}-s_{24}+s_{25})+s_{13}(s_{14}-s_{15}+2s_{34}-2s_{35}) \\
& \qquad +s_{45}(-2s_{14}-s_{45}+3s_{25}+2s_{34}+s_{35})) \\
& +\frac{1}{60}A(1,4,2,3,5)(s_{12}(s_{13}+3s_{14}-s_{15}+2s_{23}-2s_{25})+s_{24}s_{34}-2s_{13}s_{35}-s_{15}s_{35} \\
& \qquad +2s_{23}s_{35}+s_{25}s_{35}-s_{24}s_{45}+3s_{35}s_{45}+s_{14}(-s_{34}+s_{45})) \\
& +\frac{1}{60}A(1,4,3,2,5)(s_{23}s_{24}+s_{12}(s_{13}+2s_{14}-s_{23}-2s_{25})-s_{23}s_{25}-s_{14}s_{34} \\
& \qquad +2s_{23}s_{34}+s_{24}s_{34}+s_{13}(s_{14}-s_{15}-2s_{35})-s_{15}s_{35}+s_{25}s_{35} \\
& \qquad -2s_{14}s_{45}+2s_{25}s_{45}-s_{34}s_{45}+s_{35}s_{45}), \qquad (4.1)
\end{aligned}
$$

which in BCJ basis simplifies to

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{12345} = & \Bigg(A(1,2,3,4,5)(s_{14}+s_{24}+s_{34})(s_{13}^3(2s_{14}+2s_{23}-3(s_{24}+s_{34}))+ \\
& \qquad s_{13}^2(3s_{14}^2+3s_{23}^2-s_{24}^2+3s_{23}s_{34}-6s_{24}s_{34}-5s_{34}^2-s_{14}(3s_{24}+2s_{34})) \\
& \qquad -s_{14}s_{23}(s_{14}^2-s_{24}^2+s_{24}s_{34}+2s_{34}^2+3s_{14}(s_{23}+s_{34})+s_{23}(-2s_{24}+3s_{34})) \\
& \qquad +s_{13}(s_{14}^3-3s_{14}^2s_{23}+s_{23}^3+2s_{23}s_{34}(s_{24}+s_{34})+s_{23}^2(2s_{24}+5s_{34}) \\
& \qquad -s_{34}(s_{24}^2+3s_{24}s_{34}+2s_{34}^2)-s_{14}(3s_{23}^2+s_{24}^2+3s_{24}s_{34}+3s_{34}^2+s_{23}(3s_{24}+s_{34})))) \\
& +A(1,2,4,3,5)(s_{13}^4s_{14}-s_{14}(s_{23}+s_{34})(s_{14}+s_{24}+s_{34})(s_{14}^2-s_{24}^2+s_{24}s_{34}+2s_{34}^2 \\
& \qquad +3s_{14}(s_{23}+s_{34})+s_{23}(-2s_{24}+3s_{34}))+s_{13}^2(2s_{14}^3+3s_{14}^2(s_{23}-s_{24}+3s_{34}) \\
& \qquad -s_{24}(-3s_{23}^2+s_{24}^2-3s_{23}s_{34}+6s_{24}s_{34}+5s_{34}^2)+ \\
& \qquad s_{14}(2s_{23}^2-6s_{24}^2-3s_{23}(s_{24}-2s_{34})-9s_{24}s_{34}+7s_{34}^2)) \\
& \qquad +s_{13}^3(3s_{14}^2+3s_{14}(s_{23}-s_{24}+2s_{34})+s_{24}(2s_{23}-3(s_{24}+s_{34}))) \\
& \qquad -s_{13}(s_{14}^3(s_{23}-s_{34})+s_{14}^2(s_{23}^2+(6s_{24}-s_{34})s_{34}+3s_{23}(s_{24}+s_{34})) \\
& \qquad +s_{14}(s_{23}^2(2s_{24}+s_{34})+s_{24}s_{34}(8s_{24}+9s_{34})+2s_{23}(s_{24}^2+4s_{24}s_{34}+s_{34}^2)) \\
& \qquad +s_{24}(-s_{23}^3-2s_{23}s_{34}(s_{24}+s_{34})-s_{23}^2(2s_{24}+5s_{34})+s_{34}(s_{24}^2+3s_{24}s_{34}+2s_{34}^2)))) \Bigg)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\times \frac{1}{30 s_{13} s_{14} (s_{13} + s_{14} + s_{34}))} \tag{4.2}$$

and we do find that relabeling symmetry is satisfied at 5-points. This result is also same with the one obtained by Broedel and Carrasco in [35] The explicit expression for 6-point numerator is considerably more complicated and we leave the result to appendix A.

5. Verifying symmetry properties of the numerators

In this section let us check whether the BCJ numerators constructed following the algorithm outlined at the beginning of this paper indeed satisfy the three properties proposed in [35]. As remarked at the end of section 2, the n^s_α's defined by this algorithm satisfy the BCJ-representation automatically since $n^s_{1\sigma(2,\ldots,n-1)n}$ works as a basis and other numerators are constructed through antisymmetry and Jacobi identity. The n^s_α's are also amplitude-encoded representation since n^S_α is of the form $\sum A_n \mathcal{K}$, where $\mathcal{K}$ are kinematic factors constructed by s_{ij} and all helicity information is included in A_n.

The last property, i.e., the symmetric representation, is however not trivial. Note that since all other topologies can be constructed using DDM-chains (linear trees), if we can show the relabeling symmetry is true for this topology, it must be true for other topologies. For the topology of DDM-chain, there are $n!$ different labelings. Among them $(n-2)!$ of the numerators are directly given by the algorithm (2.7) and others can be constructed using the Jacobi-identity and anti-symmetry. In our third step, we have fixed only two legs $1, n$, the relabeling property is manifestly true among $(2, 3, ..., n-1)$ by construction. Since all permutations can be generated by successive permutations between the $(n-1)$ consequtive pairs, we can reduce our checking to the following two permutations: (12) and $(n-1)n$. Now let us consider the DDM-chains $n_{213\ldots(n-1)n}$ and $n_{123\ldots n(n-1)}$. We have two ways to get the same n_α from basis numerators: One is by relabeling $n_{123\ldots(n-1)n}$ and another one, by using Jacobi relation and antisymmetry. If the expressions obtained by these two ways are the same, the relabeling property is fully checked.

5.1 Permutation (12)

Now we consider the relabeling property under the permutation (12) for $n_{123\ldots(n-1)n}$. The BCJ numerator $n_{213\ldots(n-1)n}$ can be obtained by two ways. The first way is by relabeling from $n_{123\ldots(n-1)n}$, which we denote as

$$(n^S_{213\ldots(n-1)n})_R \equiv n^s_{123\ldots(n-1)n}|_{1\leftrightarrow 2} \quad \text{[ns-1]} \tag{5.1}$$

The second way is by antisymmetry specially for this DDM-chain topology and we get

$$(n^S_{213\ldots(n-1)n})_A = -n^s_{123\ldots(n-1)n} \quad \text{[ns-2]} \tag{5.2}$$

To check they are the same, we notice that permutation (12) in equation (2.5) gives

$$\mathcal{A}^S_n = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n-2}} \widetilde{n}_{2\sigma(1,\ldots,n-1)n} \widetilde{A}_n(2, \sigma(1, ..., n-1), n) \quad \text{[S-rep-1]}$$

$$= (n^S_{213\ldots(n-1)n})_R \widetilde{A}_n(2,1,3,...,n-1,n) + ... \tag{5.3}$$

where we have written the expansion at the second line and identify the coefficient of $\widetilde{A}_n(2,1,3,...,n-1,n)$ to be the $(n^S_{213\ldots(n-1)n})_R$ given in (5.1). The reason is simple: because at our second step, symmetry among n external legs are manifest, thus when we go to different KK-basis, their coefficients are related to each other by simple relabeling.

A relation between $(n^S_{213\ldots(n-1)n})_R$ given in (5.1) and the original $(1,n)$-basis numerators $n^S_{123\ldots(n-1)n}$ can be obtained by translating the amplitude $\widetilde{A}(1,\sigma,n)$ in equation (2.5) into $(2,n)$-basis $\widetilde{A}(2,\rho,n)$. Notice however, according to KK-relation,

$$\widetilde{A}(1,\alpha,2,\beta,n) = \widetilde{A}(2,\beta,n,1,\alpha) = (-)^{1+\#(\alpha)} \sum_{\rho\in COP(\beta\bigcup(\alpha^T,1))} \widetilde{A}(2,\rho,n) \quad \text{[KK-exp-another]} \tag{5.4}$$

On the right hand side we see that because of the presence of an extra α^T sitting on the left of leg 1, we never get $\widetilde{A}_n(2,1,3,...,n-1,n)$ unless set α is empty. When that happens, there is only one contribution with a $(-)$ sign. Putting (5.4) back to (2.5) and we find the coefficient of $\widetilde{A}_n(2,1,3,...,n-1,n)$ is given by $-n^s_{123\ldots(n-1)n}$. Thus we have proven that (5.1) coincides with (5.2).

5.2 Permutation $((n-1)n)$

The proof of $((n-1)n)$ invariance is similar. Using relabeling we find

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}^S_n &= \sum_{\sigma\in S_{n-2}} \widetilde{n}_{1\sigma(2,\ldots,n-2,n)(n-1)} \widetilde{A}_n(1,\sigma(2,...,n-2,n),n-1) \quad \text{[S-rep-2]} \\ &= (n^S_{123\ldots(n-2)n(n-1)})_R \widetilde{A}_n(1,2,,...,n-2,n,n-1) + ...\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

On the other hand expanding $\widetilde{A}(1,\sigma,n)$ into $(1,n-1)$-basis gives

$$\widetilde{A}(1,\alpha,n-1,\beta,n) = (-)^{1+\#(\beta)} \sum_{\rho\in COP(\alpha\bigcup(n,\beta^T,1))} \widetilde{A}(1,\rho,n-1) \quad \text{[KK-exp-another-1]} \tag{5.6}$$

we see on the right hand side of the equation that because of the extra ordering β^T between legs n and 1, we never get $\widetilde{A}_n(1,2,3,...,n-2,n,n-1)$ unless β is empty. When this happens, there is only one contribution with a $(-)$ sign, thus we get

$$(n^S_{123\ldots(n-2)n(n-1)})_R = -n^s_{123\ldots(n-1)n} \tag{5.7}$$

as required by anti-symmetry of the BCJ numerator.

6. Conclusion

In this paper we discussed a systematic construction of the BCJ numerator based on matching KLT and dual DDM-form of the full Yang-Mills amplitude. Using this method we explicitly calculated the numerators

at 4, 5 and 6 points and verified the three symmetry properties proposed by Broedel and Carrasco [35] hold generically. Note the similarity between the expressions discussed and the prescription proposed by Cachazo, He and Yuan [33] despite the method used in this paper does not rely on the existence of the solutions to scattering equations.

There are a few things one can proceed. First, although we have the general algorithm, its computation takes a long time when the number of external leg increases. Thus it will be nice if we can have a general patten of n_α expanded into the KK-basis (or BCJ-basis). Secondly, it will be natural to generalize above results to loop level. At loop-level the current method does not seem to straightforwardly generalize because of the lack of support from KLT relation, yet naively it might be possible to solve numerators by comparing integrands in suitable basis. We leave this part of the discussion to future works.

Acknowledgements

Y. J. Du would like to acknowledge the EU programme Erasmus Mundus Action 2 and the International Postdoctoral Exchange Fellowship Program of China(with Fudan University as the home university) for supporting his postdoctoral research in Lund University. Y. J. Du's research is supported in parts by the NSF of China Grant No.11105118, China Postdoctoral Science Foundation No.2013M530175 and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of Fudan University No.20520133169. C.F. would like to acknowledge the support from National Science Council, 50 billions project of Ministry of Education and National Center for Theoretical Science, Taiwan, Republic of China as well as the support from S.T. Yau center of National Chiao Tung University. B.F is supported, in part, by fund from Qiu-Shi and Chinese NSF funding under contract No.11031005, No.11135006, No. 11125523.

A. 6-point numerator

In this appendix we present the explicit formula of the 6-point BCJ numerator in KK basis. Note however, despite its complixity, this expression holds in all dimensions greater or equal to three and does not depend on helicity except through color-ordered amplitudes.

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{123456} = \frac{1}{120}\{ & A(123456)2\left[8(s_{12}(s_{13}+s_{23})(s_{14}+s_{24}+s_{34})+(s_{34}+s_{35}+s_{36})(s_{45}+s_{46})s_{56})\right] \\
& +A(123546)4\left[s_{1,2}(s_{1,3}+s_{2,3})(s_{1,4}+s_{1,5}-s_{1,6}+s_{2,4}+s_{2,5}-s_{2,6}+s_{3,4}+s_{3,5}-s_{3,6})\right. \\
& \qquad \left. +2(s_{3,4}+s_{3,5}+s_{3,6})s_{4,6}s_{5,6}\right] \\
& +A(124356)8\left[s_{35}+s_{36})(s_{45}+s_{46})s_{56}+4s_{12}(2s_{14}s_{23}+2s_{23}s_{24}+2s_{13}(s_{14}+s_{24})\right. \\
& \qquad \left. -(s_{15}+s_{16}+s_{25}+s_{26})s_{56}\right] \\
& +A(124536)\left[8s_{36}(s_{45}+s_{46})s_{56}+s_{12}(2s_{15}s_{24}-2s_{16}s_{24}+4s_{23}s_{24}+2s_{24}s_{25}\right. \\
& \qquad \left. -2s_{24}s_{26}-s_{23}s_{35}+s_{23}s_{36}+s_{13}(4s_{14}+4s_{24}-s_{35}+s_{36})+3s_{24}s_{45}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+s_{14}(2s_{15}-2s_{16}+4s_{23}+2s_{25}-2s_{26}+3s_{45}-3s_{46})-3s_{24}s_{46}-3s_{15}s_{56}\\
&-s_{16}s_{56}-3s_{25}s_{56}-s_{26}s_{56})]\\
+A(125346)\,&[8(s_{34}+s_{36})s_{46}s_{56}+s_{12}(4s_{15}s_{23}-2s_{16}s_{23}+2s_{23}s_{24}+4s_{23}s_{25}\\
&-2s_{23}s_{26}+3s_{23}s_{34}+s_{13}(2s_{14}+4s_{15}-2s_{16}+2s_{24}+4s_{25}-2s_{26}+3s_{34}-3s_{36})\\
&-3s_{23}s_{36}-s_{15}s_{45}-s_{25}s_{45}+s_{14}(2s_{23}-3s_{46})-s_{16}s_{46}-3s_{24}s_{46}\\
&-s_{26}s_{46}+s_{15}s_{56}+s_{25}s_{56})]\\
+A(125436)\,&[8s_{36}s_{46}s_{56}+s_{12}(2s_{15}s_{23}+2s_{15}s_{24}-2s_{16}s_{24}+2s_{23}s_{24}+2s_{23}s_{25}+2s_{24}s_{25}\\
&-2s_{24}s_{26}-s_{23}s_{34}+s_{13}(2s_{14}+2s_{15}+2s_{24}+2s_{25}-s_{34}-3s_{36})-3s_{23}s_{36}\\
&-s_{15}s_{45}-s_{25}s_{45}+s_{14}(2s_{15}-2s_{16}+2s_{23}+2s_{25}-2s_{26}-3s_{46})-s_{16}s_{46}\\
&-3s_{24}s_{46}-s_{26}s_{46}-3s_{15}s_{56}-3s_{25}s_{56})]\\
+A(132456)\,&[4(2s_{12}s_{13}(s_{14}+s_{24}+s_{34})-(s_{14}+s_{15}+s_{16}-s_{24}-s_{25}-s_{26}-s_{34}\\
&-s_{35}-s_{36})(s_{45}+s_{46})s_{56})]\\
+A(132546)\,&[4(s_{12}s_{13}(s_{14}+s_{15}-s_{16}+s_{24}+s_{25}-s_{26}+s_{34}+s_{35}-s_{36})\\
&+(-s_{14}-s_{15}-s_{16}+s_{24}+s_{25}+s_{26}+s_{34}+s_{35}+s_{36})s_{46}s_{56})]\\
+A(134256)\,&[-(s_{23}(s_{25}+s_{26})+s_{13}(s_{15}+s_{16}+3(s_{35}+s_{36}))+(3s_{14}+2s_{15}+2s_{16}\\
&-4s_{25}-4s_{26}-3s_{34}-2s_{35}-2s_{36})(s_{45}+s_{46}))s_{56}+s_{12}(8s_{13}(s_{14}+s_{34})\\
&+(s_{25}+s_{26})s_{56})]\\
+A(134526)\,&[-s_{23}s_{24}s_{25}+s_{23}s_{24}s_{26}-s_{23}s_{26}s_{56}-2s_{14}s_{45}s_{56}-s_{15}s_{45}s_{56}-s_{16}s_{45}s_{56}\\
&+4s_{26}s_{45}s_{56}+2s_{34}s_{45}s_{56}+s_{35}s_{45}s_{56}+s_{36}s_{45}s_{56}-s_{14}s_{46}s_{56}-s_{15}s_{46}s_{56}\\
&-s_{16}s_{46}s_{56}+4s_{26}s_{46}s_{56}+s_{34}s_{46}s_{56}+s_{35}s_{46}s_{56}+s_{36}s_{46}s_{56}+s_{12}(s_{24}(s_{25}-s_{26})\\
&+s_{13}(4s_{14}-s_{25}+s_{26}+4s_{34})+s_{26}s_{56})+s_{13}(-s_{16}s_{34}+s_{34}s_{35}-s_{34}s_{36}\\
&+2s_{34}s_{45}+s_{14}(s_{15}-s_{16}+s_{35}-s_{36}+s_{45}-s_{46})-2s_{34}s_{46}+s_{15}(s_{34}-s_{56})\\
&-2s_{35}s_{56}-s_{36}s_{56})]\\
+A(135246)\,&[s_{12}(s_{13}(2s_{14}+4s_{15}-2s_{16}+3s_{24}-3s_{26}+2s_{34}+4s_{35}-2s_{36})+s_{24}s_{46})\\
&-s_{13}((s_{14}+2s_{34}+s_{36})s_{46}+s_{35}(s_{45}-s_{56}))+s_{46}(-s_{23}s_{24}+(-2s_{14}\\
&-3s_{15}-2s_{16}+4s_{24}+4s_{26}+2s_{34}+3s_{35}+2s_{36})s_{56})]\\
+A(135426)\,&[-s_{23}s_{24}s_{25}+s_{23}s_{24}s_{26}-s_{23}s_{24}s_{45}-s_{23}s_{26}s_{56}+s_{15}s_{45}s_{56}-s_{35}s_{45}s_{56}\\
&-s_{14}s_{46}s_{56}-s_{15}s_{46}s_{56}-s_{16}s_{46}s_{56}+4s_{26}s_{46}s_{56}+s_{34}s_{46}s_{56}+s_{35}s_{46}s_{56}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+s_{36}s_{46}s_{56}+s_{12}(s_{13}(2s_{14}+2s_{15}-s_{24}-3s_{26}+2s_{34}+2s_{35})\\
&+s_{24}(s_{25}-s_{26}+s_{45})+s_{26}s_{56})+s_{13}(-s_{16}s_{34}+s_{34}s_{35}-s_{34}s_{36}-s_{35}s_{45}\\
&+s_{14}(s_{15}-s_{16}+s_{35}-s_{36}-s_{46})-2s_{34}s_{46}-s_{36}s_{46}+s_{15}(s_{34}-s_{56})-2s_{35}s_{56})]\\
+A(142356)\,&[(-2s_{16}s_{35}+3s_{23}s_{35}+2s_{25}s_{35}+2s_{26}s_{35}-2s_{16}s_{36}+3s_{23}s_{36}+2s_{25}s_{36}+2s_{26}s_{36}\\
&-3s_{13}(s_{35}+s_{36})-2s_{15}(s_{35}+s_{36})+s_{14}s_{45}-s_{24}s_{45}+4s_{35}s_{45}+4s_{36}s_{45}+s_{14}s_{46}\\
&-s_{24}s_{46}+4s_{35}s_{46}+4s_{36}s_{46})s_{56}+s_{12}(8s_{13}s_{14}+8s_{14}s_{23}\\
&-(s_{15}+s_{16}+3(s_{25}+s_{26}))s_{56})]\\
+A(142536)\,&[(-3s_{13}s_{36}-2s_{15}s_{36}-2s_{16}s_{36}+3s_{23}s_{36}+2s_{25}s_{36}+2s_{26}s_{36}+s_{14}s_{45}-s_{24}s_{45}\\
&+4s_{36}s_{45}+4s_{36}s_{46})s_{56}+s_{12}(4s_{13}s_{14}-s_{23}s_{35}+s_{23}s_{36}+s_{14}(2s_{15}-2s_{16}\\
&+4s_{23}+2s_{25}-2s_{26}+3s_{45}-3s_{46})-s_{15}s_{56}-2s_{25}s_{56}-s_{26}s_{56})]\\
+A(143256)\,&[-(s_{23}(s_{25}+s_{26})+2s_{15}s_{35}+2s_{16}s_{35}-2s_{25}s_{35}-2s_{26}s_{35}+2s_{15}s_{36}+2s_{16}s_{36}\\
&-2s_{25}s_{36}-2s_{26}s_{36}+s_{13}(s_{15}+s_{16}+3(s_{35}+s_{36}))+3s_{14}s_{45}-2s_{25}s_{45}\\
&-2s_{26}s_{45}+s_{34}s_{45}-2s_{35}s_{45}-2s_{36}s_{45}+3s_{14}s_{46}-2s_{25}s_{46}-2s_{26}s_{46}+s_{34}s_{46}\\
&-2s_{35}s_{46}-2s_{36}s_{46})s_{56}+s_{12}(8s_{13}s_{14}-3(s_{25}+s_{26})s_{56})]\\
+A(143526)\,&[-s_{23}s_{24}s_{25}+s_{23}s_{24}s_{26}-s_{23}s_{25}s_{34}+s_{23}s_{26}s_{34}-s_{14}s_{34}s_{45}+s_{14}s_{34}s_{46}\\
&-s_{23}s_{26}s_{56}-s_{15}s_{35}s_{56}-s_{16}s_{35}s_{56}+2s_{26}s_{35}s_{56}-s_{15}s_{36}s_{56}-s_{16}s_{36}s_{56}\\
&+2s_{26}s_{36}s_{56}-2s_{14}s_{45}s_{56}+2s_{26}s_{45}s_{56}-s_{34}s_{45}s_{56}+s_{35}s_{45}s_{56}+s_{36}s_{45}s_{56}\\
&-s_{14}s_{46}s_{56}+2s_{26}s_{46}s_{56}+s_{35}s_{46}s_{56}+s_{36}s_{46}s_{56}+s_{12}(4s_{13}s_{14}+s_{23}s_{25}\\
&-s_{23}s_{26}+s_{14}(-s_{25}+s_{26})-3s_{26}s_{56})+s_{13}(s_{14}(s_{15}-s_{16}+s_{35}-s_{36}+s_{45}-s_{46})\\
&-(s_{15}+2s_{35}+s_{36})s_{56})]\\
+A(145236)\,&[-s_{23}s_{24}s_{36}-s_{23}s_{34}s_{36}-s_{14}s_{34}s_{45}-s_{14}s_{35}s_{45}-s_{14}s_{36}s_{46}-s_{15}s_{36}s_{56}\\
&-s_{16}s_{36}s_{56}+3s_{23}s_{36}s_{56}+2s_{26}s_{36}s_{56}+2s_{14}s_{45}s_{56}-s_{24}s_{45}s_{56}-s_{25}s_{45}s_{56}\\
&+3s_{36}s_{45}s_{56}+2s_{36}s_{46}s_{56}-s_{13}s_{36}(s_{14}+s_{56})+s_{12}(2s_{13}s_{14}-s_{23}s_{25}-s_{23}s_{35}\\
&+2s_{23}s_{36}+s_{14}(2s_{15}-s_{16}+3s_{23}-s_{26}+3s_{45}-s_{46})-s_{15}s_{56}-s_{26}s_{56})]\\
+A(145326)\,&[-s_{23}s_{24}s_{25}+s_{23}s_{24}s_{26}-s_{23}s_{25}s_{34}+s_{23}s_{26}s_{34}-s_{23}s_{24}s_{35}-s_{23}s_{34}s_{35}\\
&-s_{14}s_{34}s_{45}-s_{14}s_{35}s_{45}-s_{14}s_{36}s_{46}-s_{23}s_{26}s_{56}-s_{15}s_{36}s_{56}-s_{16}s_{36}s_{56}\\
&+2s_{26}s_{36}s_{56}-2s_{14}s_{45}s_{56}+2s_{26}s_{45}s_{56}-s_{34}s_{45}s_{56}-s_{35}s_{45}s_{56}+s_{36}s_{45}s_{56}\\
&+s_{26}s_{46}s_{56}+s_{36}s_{46}s_{56}+s_{12}(2s_{13}s_{14}+s_{23}s_{25}-2s_{23}s_{26}+s_{23}s_{35}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+s_{14}(s_{15}-s_{23}-s_{26}+2s_{45})-s_{26}s_{56})+s_{13}(s_{14}(s_{15}-s_{16}-s_{36}+s_{45}-s_{46})\\
&-(s_{15}+s_{36})s_{56})]\\
+A(152346)\,&[-s_{25}s_{35}s_{45}-2s_{13}s_{34}s_{46}-s_{14}s_{34}s_{46}-s_{16}s_{34}s_{46}+2s_{23}s_{34}s_{46}+s_{24}s_{34}s_{46}\\
&+s_{26}s_{34}s_{46}-s_{13}s_{36}s_{46}-s_{14}s_{36}s_{46}-s_{16}s_{36}s_{46}+s_{23}s_{36}s_{46}+s_{24}s_{36}s_{46}\\
&+s_{26}s_{36}s_{46}+s_{25}s_{35}s_{56}-s_{25}s_{46}s_{56}+4s_{34}s_{46}s_{56}+4s_{36}s_{46}s_{56}\\
&+s_{12}(4s_{15}s_{23}-s_{16}s_{23}+s_{23}s_{24}-s_{23}s_{26}+2s_{23}s_{34}+s_{13}(s_{14}+4s_{15}-s_{16}\\
&+s_{24}-s_{26}+s_{34}-s_{36})-2s_{23}s_{36}-s_{15}s_{45}+s_{14}(s_{23}-s_{46})-2s_{24}s_{46}\\
&-s_{26}s_{46}+s_{15}s_{56})+s_{15}(s_{35}(s_{45}-s_{56})+s_{46}s_{56})]\\
+A(152436)\,&[-s_{23}s_{34}s_{36}+s_{15}s_{34}s_{45}-s_{25}s_{34}s_{45}+s_{15}s_{35}s_{45}-s_{25}s_{35}s_{45}+s_{13}s_{36}(s_{34}-s_{46})\\
&-s_{14}s_{36}s_{46}-s_{16}s_{36}s_{46}+s_{23}s_{36}s_{46}+s_{24}s_{36}s_{46}+s_{26}s_{36}s_{46}+s_{15}s_{36}s_{56}\\
&-s_{25}s_{36}s_{56}-s_{15}s_{45}s_{56}+s_{25}s_{45}s_{56}+4s_{36}s_{46}s_{56}+s_{12}(2s_{15}s_{23}+2s_{15}s_{24}\\
&-s_{16}s_{24}+s_{23}s_{24}-s_{24}s_{26}-s_{23}s_{34}+s_{13}(s_{14}+2s_{15}+s_{24}-s_{36})-2s_{23}s_{36}\\
&-s_{15}s_{45}+s_{14}(2s_{15}-s_{16}+s_{23}-s_{26}-s_{46})-2s_{24}s_{46}-s_{26}s_{46}-3s_{15}s_{56})]\\
+A(153246)\,&[-s_{23}s_{35}s_{45}-s_{25}s_{35}s_{45}-s_{13}s_{14}s_{46}-s_{23}s_{24}s_{46}-2s_{13}s_{34}s_{46}-s_{14}s_{34}s_{46}\\
&-s_{16}s_{34}s_{46}+s_{24}s_{34}s_{46}+s_{26}s_{34}s_{46}-s_{13}s_{36}s_{46}-s_{14}s_{36}s_{46}-s_{16}s_{36}s_{46}\\
&+s_{24}s_{36}s_{46}+s_{26}s_{36}s_{46}+s_{23}s_{35}s_{56}+s_{25}s_{35}s_{56}+2s_{24}s_{46}s_{56}+2s_{26}s_{46}s_{56}\\
&+2s_{34}s_{46}s_{56}-s_{35}s_{46}s_{56}+2s_{36}s_{46}s_{56}+s_{12}(s_{23}(-s_{24}+s_{26})\\
&+s_{13}(s_{14}+4s_{15}-s_{16}+s_{24}-s_{26}+s_{34}-s_{36})-s_{15}s_{45}-2s_{24}s_{46}-s_{26}s_{46}+s_{15}s_{56})\\
&+s_{15}(s_{35}(s_{45}-s_{56})-3s_{46}s_{56})]\\
+A(153426)\,&[-s_{23}s_{24}s_{25}+s_{23}s_{24}s_{26}-s_{23}s_{24}s_{35}-s_{23}s_{24}s_{45}+s_{15}s_{35}s_{45}-s_{23}s_{35}s_{45}\\
&-s_{24}s_{35}s_{45}-s_{25}s_{35}s_{45}-s_{14}s_{34}s_{46}-s_{16}s_{34}s_{46}+s_{26}s_{34}s_{46}-s_{14}s_{36}s_{46}\\
&-s_{16}s_{36}s_{46}+s_{26}s_{36}s_{46}+s_{12}(s_{23}s_{24}+s_{23}s_{26}+s_{24}s_{26}+s_{13}(s_{14}+2s_{15}\\
&-s_{26}+s_{34})-s_{15}(s_{24}+s_{45})-s_{26}s_{46})+s_{13}(-s_{16}s_{34}-s_{34}s_{36}\\
&+s_{14}(s_{15}-s_{16}-s_{36}-s_{46})-2s_{34}s_{46}-s_{36}s_{46}+s_{15}(s_{34}-s_{56}))\\
&-s_{23}s_{26}s_{56}+s_{15}s_{35}s_{56}-s_{26}s_{35}s_{56}+s_{15}s_{45}s_{56}+s_{35}s_{45}s_{56}-s_{15}s_{46}s_{56}\\
&+2s_{26}s_{46}s_{56}+s_{34}s_{46}s_{56}+s_{36}s_{46}s_{56}]\\
+A(154236)\,&[-s_{23}s_{24}s_{36}-s_{23}s_{34}s_{36}+s_{15}s_{34}s_{45}-s_{24}s_{34}s_{45}-s_{25}s_{34}s_{45}+s_{15}s_{35}s_{45}\\
&-s_{24}s_{35}s_{45}-s_{25}s_{35}s_{45}-s_{14}s_{36}s_{46}-s_{16}s_{36}s_{46}+s_{23}s_{36}s_{46}+s_{26}s_{36}s_{46}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-s_{13}s_{36}(s_{14}+s_{46})-s_{15}s_{36}s_{56}+2s_{23}s_{36}s_{56}+s_{26}s_{36}s_{56}-2s_{15}s_{45}s_{56}\\
&+s_{24}s_{45}s_{56}+s_{25}s_{45}s_{56}-s_{36}s_{45}s_{56}+2s_{36}s_{46}s_{56}+s_{12}(s_{13}(s_{14}+s_{15})\\
&+2s_{15}s_{23}-s_{23}s_{24}-s_{23}s_{34}-2s_{23}s_{36}-s_{15}s_{45}+s_{14}(2s_{15}-s_{16}+s_{23}-s_{26}-s_{46})\\
&-s_{26}s_{46}-s_{15}s_{56})]\\
+A(154326)\,&[-s_{23}s_{24}s_{25}+s_{23}s_{24}s_{26}-s_{23}s_{25}s_{34}+s_{23}s_{26}s_{34}-s_{23}s_{24}s_{35}-s_{23}s_{34}s_{35}\\
&-s_{23}s_{24}s_{45}+s_{15}s_{34}s_{45}-2s_{23}s_{34}s_{45}-s_{24}s_{34}s_{45}-s_{25}s_{34}s_{45}+s_{15}s_{35}s_{45}\\
&-s_{23}s_{35}s_{45}-s_{24}s_{35}s_{45}-s_{25}s_{35}s_{45}-s_{14}s_{36}s_{46}-s_{16}s_{36}s_{46}+s_{26}s_{36}s_{46}\\
&+s_{12}(s_{13}(s_{14}+s_{15})-s_{15}s_{23}+s_{23}s_{24}+s_{14}(s_{15}-s_{26})+2s_{23}s_{26}+s_{23}s_{34}\\
&-s_{15}s_{45}-s_{26}s_{46})-s_{23}s_{26}s_{56}-s_{15}s_{36}s_{56}+s_{26}s_{36}s_{56}+2s_{15}s_{45}s_{56}\\
&-s_{26}s_{45}s_{56}+s_{34}s_{45}s_{56}+s_{35}s_{45}s_{56}+s_{26}s_{46}s_{56}+s_{36}s_{46}s_{56}\\
&+s_{13}(s_{14}(s_{15}-s_{16}-s_{36}-s_{46})-s_{36}s_{46}-s_{15}s_{56})]\}
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.1}
$$

References

[1] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco and H. Johansson, "New Relations for Gauge-Theory Amplitudes," Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 085011 [arXiv:0805.3993 [hep-ph]].

[2] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco and H. Johansson, "Perturbative Quantum Gravity as a Double Copy of Gauge Theory," Phys. Rev. Lett. **105** (2010) 061602 [arXiv:1004.0476 [hep-th]].

[3] J. J. .Carrasco and H. Johansson, "Five-Point Amplitudes in N=4 Super-Yang-Mills Theory and N=8 Supergravity," Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 025006 [arXiv:1106.4711 [hep-th]].

[4] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco, L. J. Dixon, H. Johansson and R. Roiban, "Simplifying Multiloop Integrands and Ultraviolet Divergences of Gauge Theory and Gravity Amplitudes," Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 105014 [arXiv:1201.5366 [hep-th]].

[5] R. H. Boels, B. A. Kniehl, O. V. Tarasov and G. Yang, "Color-kinematic Duality for Form Factors," JHEP **1302** (2013) 063 [arXiv:1211.7028 [hep-th]].

[6] J. J. M. Carrasco, M. Chiodaroli, M. Gnaydin and R. Roiban, "One-loop four-point amplitudes in pure and matter-coupled N $\leq$ 4 supergravity," JHEP **1303** (2013) 056 [arXiv:1212.1146 [hep-th]].

[7] R. H. Boels, R. S. Isermann, R. Monteiro and D. O'Connell, "Colour-Kinematics Duality for One-Loop Rational Amplitudes," JHEP **1304** (2013) 107 [arXiv:1301.4165 [hep-th]].

[8] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, T. Dennen, R. Monteiro and D. O'Connell, "Integrand Oxidation and One-Loop Colour-Dual Numerators in N=4 Gauge Theory," JHEP **1307** (2013) 092 [arXiv:1303.2913 [hep-th]].

[9] Z. Bern, S. Davies, T. Dennen, Y. -t. Huang and J. Nohle, "Color-Kinematics Duality for Pure Yang-Mills and Gravity at One and Two Loops," arXiv:1303.6605 [hep-th].

[10] M. Chiodaroli, Q. Jin and R. Roiban, "Color/kinematics duality for general abelian orbifolds of N=4 super Yang-Mills theory," JHEP **1401** (2014) 152 [arXiv:1311.3600 [hep-th], arXiv:1311.3600].

[11] Z. Bern, T. Dennen, Y. -t. Huang and M. Kiermaier, "Gravity as the Square of Gauge Theory," Phys. Rev. D **82** (2010) 065003 [arXiv:1004.0693 [hep-th]].

[12] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, H. Johansson and T. Sondergaard, "Monodromy–like Relations for Finite Loop Amplitudes," JHEP **1105**, 039 (2011) [arXiv:1103.6190 [hep-th]].

[13] Y. -J. Du, B. Feng and C. -H. Fu, "BCJ Relation of Color Scalar Theory and KLT Relation of Gauge Theory," JHEP **1108** (2011) 129 [arXiv:1105.3503 [hep-th]].

[14] R. H. Boels and R. S. Isermann, "New relations for scattering amplitudes in Yang-Mills theory at loop level," Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 021701 [arXiv:1109.5888 [hep-th]].

[15] R. H. Boels and R. S. Isermann, "Yang-Mills amplitude relations at loop level from non-adjacent BCFW shifts," JHEP **1203** (2012) 051 [arXiv:1110.4462 [hep-th]].

[16] Y. -J. Du and H. Luo, "On General BCJ Relation at One-loop Level in Yang-Mills Theory," JHEP **1301** (2013) 129 [arXiv:1207.4549 [hep-th]].

[17] S. Oxburgh and C. D. White, "BCJ duality and the double copy in the soft limit," JHEP **1302** (2013) 127 [arXiv:1210.1110 [hep-th]].

[18] R. Saotome and R. Akhoury, "Relationship Between Gravity and Gauge Scattering in the High Energy Limit," JHEP **1301** (2013) 123 [JHEP **1301** (2013) 123] [arXiv:1210.8111 [hep-th]].

[19] J. Nohle, "Color-Kinematics Duality in One-Loop Four-Gluon Amplitudes with Matter," arXiv:1309.7416 [hep-th].

[20] V. Del Duca, L. J. Dixon and F. Maltoni, "New color decompositions for gauge amplitudes at tree and loop level," Nucl. Phys. B **571** (2000) 51 [hep-ph/9910563].

[21] Z. Bern, C. Boucher-Veronneau and H. Johansson, "N $\geq$ 4 Supergravity Amplitudes from Gauge Theory at One Loop," Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 105035 [arXiv:1107.1935 [hep-th]].

[22] Z. Bern and T. Dennen, "A Color Dual Form for Gauge-Theory Amplitudes," Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 081601 (2011) [arXiv:1103.0312 [hep-th]].

[23] C. -H. Fu, Y. -J. Du and B. Feng, "An algebraic approach to BCJ numerators," JHEP **1303** (2013) 050 [arXiv:1212.6168 [hep-th]].

[24] Y. -J. Du, B. Feng and C. -H. Fu, "The Construction of Dual-trace Factor in Yang-Mills Theory," JHEP **1307** (2013) 057 [arXiv:1304.2978 [hep-th]].

[25] C. -H. Fu, Y. -J. Du and B. Feng, "Note on Construction of Dual-trace Factor in Yang-Mills Theory," JHEP **1310** (2013) 069 [arXiv:1305.2996 [hep-th]].

[26] Y. -J. Du, B. Feng and C. -H. Fu, "Dual-color decompositions at one-loop level in Yang-Mills theory," arXiv:1402.6805 [hep-th].

[27] C. R. Mafra, O. Schlotterer and S. Stieberger, "Explicit BCJ Numerators from Pure Spinors," JHEP **1107** (2011) 092 [arXiv:1104.5224 [hep-th]].

[28] R. Monteiro and D. O'Connell, "The Kinematic Algebra From the Self-Dual Sector," JHEP **1107** (2011) 007 [arXiv:1105.2565 [hep-th]].

[29] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, R. Monteiro and D. O'Connell, "Algebras for Amplitudes," JHEP **1206** (2012) 061 [arXiv:1203.0944 [hep-th]].

[30] R. Monteiro and D. O'Connell, "The Kinematic Algebras from the Scattering Equations," arXiv:1311.1151 [hep-th].

[31] F. Cachazo, S. He and E. Y. Yuan, "Scattering Equations and KLT Orthogonality," arXiv:1306.6575 [hep-th].

[32] F. Cachazo, S. He and E. Y. Yuan, "Scattering of Massless Particles in Arbitrary Dimension," arXiv:1307.2199 [hep-th].

[33] F. Cachazo, S. He and E. Y. Yuan, "Scattering of Massless Particles: Scalars, Gluons and Gravitons," arXiv:1309.0885 [hep-th].

[34] R. H. Boels and R. S. Isermann, "On powercounting in perturbative quantum gravity theories through color-kinematic duality," JHEP **1306** (2013) 017 [arXiv:1212.3473].

[35] J. Broedel and J. J. M. Carrasco, "Virtuous Trees at Five and Six Points for Yang-Mills and Gravity," Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 085009 [arXiv:1107.4802 [hep-th]].

[36] H. Kawai, D. Lewellen and H. Tye, "A Relation Betwwen Tree Amplitudes of Closed and Open Strings", Nucl.Phys.B269 (1986)1.

[37] Z. Bern, A. De Freitas and H. L. Wong, "On the coupling of gravitons to matter," Phys. Rev. Lett. **84** (2000) 3531 [arXiv:hep-th/9912033].

[38] Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon, D. C. Dunbar, M. Perelstein and J. S. Rozowsky, "On the relationship between Yang-Mills theory and gravity and its implication for ultraviolet divergences," Nucl. Phys. B **530** (1998) 401 [hep-th/9802162].

[39] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, B. Feng and T. Sondergaard, "Gravity and Yang-Mills Amplitude Relations," Phys. Rev. D **82**, 107702 (2010) [arXiv:1005.4367 [hep-th]].
N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, B. Feng and T. Sondergaard, "New Identities among Gauge Theory Amplitudes," Phys. Lett. B **691**, 268 (2010) [arXiv:1006.3214 [hep-th]].
N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, B. Feng and T. Sondergaard, "Proof of Gravity and Yang-Mills Amplitude Relations," JHEP **1009**, 067 (2010) [arXiv:1007.3111 [hep-th]].

[40] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, T. Sondergaard and P. Vanhove, "The Momentum Kernel of Gauge and Gravity Theories," JHEP **1101** (2011) 001 [arXiv:1010.3933 [hep-th]].